G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
696-15 (1-13) 1921.
(Murrae)

Later references.
Mug 400-27-1-5

File No. 146-21

Serial Nos. 1,2,8+9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 8+9

Part B for August '22

SUBJECT.

Arrears of Vrittiamaent-due to Vrittidars of Nowl krishna Dos Sait Charities at Seringapatam.

To. Sahasranama Lakshmi Narasimha Iche
No 696 dy 11.8.22
Declines to reconsider the order of 5th march 1921 in the matter

697 dy 11.8.22
Copy to Sunnatti Ananthachar

K.H.
19/12

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—28-7 21

G.R.S. 11] 1921-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mug)

NOTES.

File No. 116-21

FILE HEADING.

Arrears of vritti amount due to the vrittidars of the Nowl Krishna Doss' Charities —

From

The Dy: Comr, Mysore Distt.

No. C. 260/21-22 Dated 11-8-21 Received 15. 8-21

Diary No. 508	Enclos. Nil. Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States, with reference to G.O. No. 3110-12/Mug: 696-15-1185/3/21, that Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimhachar, ~~has been~~ one of the vrittidars, has been paid the arrears of vritti amount due to him from 1886 to 1894 viz, Rs 468-11-5, as directed in G.O. No. 797-8/M.7. 31-94 & 25-12-90 and that nothing more is due to him for the period in question —

NOTES AND ORDERS.

As the vrittidar in question had built a house on the site allotted to him at Seringapatam and was living in it, the Dy: Comr was requested in para 2 of G.O. No. 3110-12/Mug 696-15-1185-3-21, to submit for sanction a bill for the one ...

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Srg. File No. 146 of 19 21

Serial No

of vritti amount due to him for the period 1886 to 1894. The D C now reports that no amount is due to the vrittidar for the said period, as the amount due viz Rs 468-11-5 has already been paid.

2. From the statement of income and expenditure of the charities upto 1917-18 furnished by the D C it will be seen that the vrittidar in question has been paid Rs 468-11-5 for the period from 1886 to 1907-08 and not from 1886 to 1894 as stated in the letter; and ~~not only that~~ in addition, he has been paid Rs 500 for the period from 1908 09 to the end of 1917-18. Thus, he has been paid on the whole Rs 1368-11-5.

3. Sumati Anantachar, the other vrittidar, who has built a house, has also been paid (Rs 468-11-5) + (Rs 500) = Rs 968 11-5.

4. ~~See~~ The statement shows that 7 vrittidars have recd more than Rs 468-11-5 and that one has recd Rs 234-5-7.

The point how amounts in excess of Rs 468-11-5 have been paid is not clear.

6 Subn for orders. The Comr may be requested to furnish the particulars.

30-8-21

30/8/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 146 of 1921.

Serial No	
	1. ~~Vide~~ Why enquiries made for the period subsequent to the date of resumption? VJ 31/8/21
	8. Draft making the enquiry is subd. 8D 7.9.21 Rdr 7.9.21 9. Done VJ 1/8/21
2	No 1292 dated 7-9-21 To D.C. Mysore Enquiries under what authority payments were made to the Vrittidars for the period subsequent to the date of resumption
D. 1359 & 1237 3	Petition dd 22-9-21 from Sahasramanada Lakshminarasimhachar, vrittidar of Nowl Krishnadas Charity, Seringapatam, praying that arrears of vritti amount due to him up to date may be paid to him, as he has satisfied the conditions laid down by Govt by building a house in the Agrahara and residing ~~here~~ there, though the other vrittidars may not have done so.
NOTE—Contn. sheet.	(a) Dewan's order on the petition. "How does the matter stand" Secretary's order dd 3/10/21 "Immediate"

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 146 of 1921.

Serial No

1. The petr. Sahaoranamada Lakshminarasimhachar, one of the vrittidars, is an old man. He urges that he has fulfilled the conditions of the Govt order of 1898 (vide Printed order of 5th March 1921. Sl 11 in file 696-15) by building a house on the site allotted to him & residing there & that if the other vrittidars have not complied with the conditions laid down by Govt, ~~if does is not~~ & that to withhold, on that score, the arrears of vritti amount due to him up to date would be unjust and a great hardship to him; and prays, therefore, that the vritti arrears due to him up to date may be ordered to be paid to him -

12. The previous file on the subject is put up for refce.

13 Subd for orders - The report called for from the D.C in Sl 2 of this file has not yet been received - It is subd that the matter may, if approved, be discussed with the Dy: Comr in person at Mysore.

8D
7/10/21

14

Remr der (Secl) No 1374 of 20-21.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Dry File No. 146 of 1921.

Serial No	
D1603	4

Petition dt 26-10-21 from Sumati Anantachar, one of the vrittidars of the Rowl Krishnadoss' charities, stating that he has built a house on the site allotted to him at Seringapatam and that he has let it out for Brahmans; and praying that the arrears of vritti amount due to him from 1894 may be ordered to be paid to him -

15. The petn of Sahasranamada Lakshminarasimhachar, making a similar request, has already been noted upon -

16. A reminder was issued to the Dy: Comr on 20-10-21.

subd for orders -

SD 21/11/21

17. Issued as per usual advice

HJ 3/1/21

18. Draft reminder to Dy: Comr: subd, as per personal instructions of the Secretary.

SD 27/12/21 BG 3/1/22 -

19. Reminder issued -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 146 of 1921-22

Serial No.	
8	Petition & regd no. 3793 & 7.3.22 from Sahasranamada Lakshminarasimhachar, one of the vrittidars of Nowl Krishna Dass Charities, praying that the arrears of vritti amount due to him up to date (about Rs 2000) may be ordered to be paid to him, as he has satisfied the Govt's order by building a house in the Agrahar site and residing there.
	20. The Suss. file on the subject is put up.
	21. The petitioner's contention is that as he has complied with the Govt's order by building an agrahar house and residing in it, he is entitled to get the arrears of vritti amount due up to date, irrespective of the fact that the other vrittidars have not built houses ~~there~~ & been residing there.
	22. He represents that he has grown very old & is very much indebted & prays, therefore, that the amount due to him may be ordered to be paid early.
	Subd for orders.
	31/3/22 31/3/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 146 of 1921

Serial No.

23- [illegible]

24. The previous references viz., 146-21-2 ~~and~~ which is in sns and the recorded file Mus 696-15-(1-13) are put up, as directed.

SD 3/4/22 BG

25. What is the objn to the payment in the case of the applicant?

Bm 18/4

26. The following orders in original are put up for refee.

1) No. 963-6/G. 199 & 16-7-1894.

2) No. 9048-9/R.1369 & 2-12-1894

3) No. 797-8/Mus 31-94 & 25-12-1898.

4) No. 3110-12/Mus 696-15-11 & 5-3-1921

27. The payment of the arrears of the vritti amount from 1894 up to date in the case of the applicant, who has satisfied the conditions laid down in the above orders, depends upon the intrepretation put upon the above orders, especially the order of Dece 1894.

SD 20/4/22 BG 20/4/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus. File No. 146 of 1924.

Serial No.

28. The petr is a representative of one of the Vrittidars who were granted vrittis by the late Nawab Krishna Dass from Certain Devadaya Inam villages granted to him for the benefit of his charities. Owing to the Charities having fallen into desuetude; the Inams were resumed by Govt- in July 1894. If nothing more has been done, all subordinate tenures created by Nawab Krishna Dass would have been extinguished & the vrittis along with them. Thus G.O. 6 of 1898 stating that vrittidars are entitled to the proceeds of their vrittis only up to July 1894 is based on this view.

29. But there is another order of Govt- rebutting the above view. In case of Cir the G.O 6 of July 1894 issued, the vrittidars complained to the Dewan, and another G.O. was issued by 2/4-12-1894 to the effect that "The Govt will consider favourably the question of restoring to them (the vrittidars) the vrittis or their equivalents, after they build their agrahar houses in a locality approved by the D.C & otherwise Conform to the Conditions of the original grant."

30 The petrs present contention is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

that he has built a house on the site allotted to him by the D-C and is living with, that thereby he has fulfilled the Conditions laid down in the G-O of Decr 1894, and that ~~he may be~~ this vritti or its equivalent (i.e the proceeds of the vritti) may be restored or paid to him.

Hag G.

Cap G.

31. In the G.O of 6th March 1921, it has been held that the petr has conformed to the Conditions laid down in the G-O of ~~1894~~ Decr 1894. But that order allows only the arrears of vritti amount up to 1894 to be paid to him.

32. The point for order now is "What is the restoration of the Vritti or its equivalent promised in the G-O of Decr 1894? Is it merely the arrears of Vritti amount which accrued prior to date of resumption? Or does it mean that on the Vrittidars Conforming to the Conditions, the Vritti or their equivalent would be restored to them for the future also? The latter would appear to be the proper view.

G

33 But ~~the Govt~~ Mr. Jeyaramaiyer held the Contrary view relying on the G.O of Decr 1894 (vide para 96 of the notes in Mmz: file 696 of 1915. And it has been approved by Govt. Their G.O of March 1921 A reference to my

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | May File No. 146 of 1924.

Serial No.

to para 101 of the Jeyaraman Iyer's notes would show that he was not quite clear on the point & that paras 96 & 107 contradict each other in a way.

34. In para 101, the Jeyaraman Iyer raises the point i.e. whether the conditions of the G.O. of Decr 1894 could be construed as conformed to until all the Vrittidars had built their houses i.e. until all the 12 houses had opening up into the Agraharam.

35. As regards this point, kind refer is invited to note 2 to para 271 of the new Rev. Manual. It is only defaulting Vrittidars that should be punished & not all. On the same analogy, a Vrittidar who has conformed to the conditions has to be given his Vritti or its equivalent according to promise & not refused his Vritti until other defaulting Vrittidars also conform to the conditions.

36. Secondly, a Vrittidar has either conformed to the conditions of the G.O. of Decr 1894 ~~to Cocoa~~ or he has not. He cannot be held to have conformed to these conditions for one purpose in para 96, and to have not conformed to them in para 101. Doubtless, the latter has not fulfilled the conditions within the time limit but must have complied with them for order

Flag W - stipulated in the G.O. of 17-8-1894, but must have complied with them for order

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Para 96 of the Jeyaraman Iyer's note Flag W.

18 Enclosures

P.T.O.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mem. File No. 146 of 1921.

Serial No.

6083-89
3 5.22

37 b/r the Reegon Secy please speak of me about this next?

Neel
5.5.22

38). Personally submitted the file to the member on 6-5-22. Ordered by him to Consult the Govt Advocate on the point raised in my note para 6/5

39. Forwarded unofficially to the Government Advocate with Compliments for favour of opinion on the representation of Sabhasrancadan Lakshmi Narasimhachari that ~~he may~~ his writti or its equivalent may be restored to him as he has Constructed a house on the locality selected for the Agrahar and is living in it.

By order.
Bapanna Naidu.
6.5.22 Musy Secy in Charge

Mem 2 W.O. No 114 dy 8th May '22

W.O. No. 134 / 19 5.22

40 I take it that the point referred to me for opinion is the one raised in para 32 above, viz, What is the interpretation of the Expression "restoration of the writti or its Equivalent" proviso

NOTE—Contn sheet.

in the G. O. of December 1894.

41 Having regard to the terms of the several orders passed by Government on questions relating to these Vritties from time to time, and to the circumstances under which the order of December 1894 was passed, I am of opinion that the aforesaid Expression does not refer to the arrears of Vritti amount which accrued up to the date of resumption, but to the Vritti itself or its Equivalent.

42 The claim of the Vrittars to the arrears of Vritti amount which accrued between 1886 & 1894 has been recognized by Government in their order of December, 1898 and is one to which the Vrittidars were entitled, as rightly conceded by Government, and this cannot have anything to do with the restoration of the Vritties themselves. The latter is a matter which

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mny File No. 146 of 1922.

Serial No.

is absolutely within the discretion of Government. They have held out hopes to the Brittidas that if certain conditions are fulfilled they would favourably consider the question of restoration of the brittis and it is incumbent for the Government to consider, having regard to the circumstances of each case, whether the conditions have been fulfilled & whether the case is one deserving of favourable treatment. It is evident that the conditions have not been fulfilled within A the time limit fixed & no party can presume, claim anything as a matter of right. It is also a question of sympathy.

W Swankenngeel
Govt advocate
18/5/22

Sub[d] to sec[t] for order
Dd 19.5.22

Sub[d] for orders.

Boo
20/5

(18th May)

67/5-22
25.5.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Is this a correct statement?
If not the Q. as above may be drawn up.

Peel
26.5.22

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc File No. 146 of 1921.

Serial No.

flag W.
flag K.

The statement at A is correct (vide also end of para 36 supra and flags, para 94 of the notes in Misc file 696 of 1915 pgs 1 to 73, and e.g. 17-8-1904).

6852-353.
29.5.22.

18 months?

Hm
26/5

I am inclined to rule the res that the candidates have not been selected & that the request cannot be granted. File may be submitted to Hon'ble Dewan.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 1 1922
Reg. No. 6799

30.5.22.

Hm
1/6

Dewan

The notes on the case are I am sorry I say not clear.

There are 2 selected Clerks vacancies one from Chakkai Karaikudi Chetty & another from Devakottai Chetty. Both have built houses in the upcountry.

The vacancy under the Devakottai Chetty is caused 1366 - 11.5 - the Chetty. 966 - 11.5 - & the vacancy created thereby is due. There is apparently

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 146- of 19 21.

Serial No.

No reply made before the result of the above enquiry is received and examined.

Please choose date for hearing on 11.1.21.

Please also see date for Gadadhar Pramanik's record — 8.1.21.

The issue of Order in appeal that may be passed cannot be further delayed. Please see that they are duly dealt with by

Dy. Registrar

Appeal endorsed in the negative 7/6 with Rs. 3 —

Bn. Member).

7424-202 21/6/21

The point at issue is whether the Collector's order in the matter of the Canal Tolls (2-12-1894) is binding on the Petitioners. [illegible] Sir [illegible] Bengal Irrigation Act has become law & Tolls are levied under the Act, the Petitioners have become subject to the Collector's jurisdiction. [illegible] Regulations (as per Schedule) [illegible] Regulations have not been enforced in the area

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 146 of 1922

Serial No.

intended & that the claim of the P. W. Dues for the return of them of the Entries cannot be admitted now. Draft Rule may be put up as.

Rec'd
28.6.22

Draft ready

7.7.22

First Member

505-505
15.7.22

Draft mistakes

Rec'd.
7.7.22

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Rcvd. on JUL 18 1922
Reg. No. 457

The Secretary

Draft as revised by members

JUL 1922

Secretary

First Member

1044-584
31.7.22.

Draft

1.8.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

E. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 146 of 1921

Serial No.	
9	No 696 G II—D— 22 Sahasranama Lakshmanaswamachar Simhapadu Informs that Govt cannot accede to his claims No 697 G II—D—22 Copy to Board of Revenue Salem 5/8/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

C.26/21-22

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, //th. [illegible] 1921.

From,

[illegible],

Deputy [illegible],

Mysore District.

To,

[illegible],

[illegible],

Sir,

Adverting to para 2 of C.O.No.5110-12 Muz.696-15-11 dated 5th March 1921, calling for the submission of a bill for arrears of Vritti amount due to Sahasranamada Lakshminarasimha Char from Nowl Krishnadeva Charities, for the period from 1886 to 1894, I have the honor to state that no recommendation was made in this office letter No.C.316/19-20 dated 24th February 1920 in the matter of payment of the arrears of Vritti amount to the above individual for the period referred to in the Government Order under advertance.

But as desired in your letters No.1472/muz.696-15-2 dated 2nd January 1918 and No.1533/muz.696-15-4 dated 19th November 1919, a list of arrears due to the vrittidars from 1886-87 to 1917-18 was prepared and submitted with certain other information called for.

On a reference to the connected file of old papers on the subject, it is seen that Sahasrarama Lakshmi Narasimha Char, has been paid the arrears of Vritti amount due to him from 1886 to 1894 Viz.Rs. 468-11-5 as directed in G.O.No.797-8/M.F.31-94 dated 25th December 1898 and the fact has also been reported to Government in this office letter No.274 dated 18th December 1909
23

From the references in this office, it is seen that nothing is due to Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimha Char for the period referred to -- above(viz.from 1886 to 1894)

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Hmk

1921 to 22

SECTION M(2)

FILE No. 146-21 ~~696-15~~

SERIES

1921-22

From The Deputy-Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Miscellaneous department
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 11th August 21.
Received

GEN & REV. SECTT.
13 AUG 1921

General No. Ref. No: 26 of 21-22
No. 1

Enclosure No. one file.

Council opinion in the submission of a bill for arrears of Vritti amounts due to Subbarayanada Kakkaya Narasimhachar from Nowl Krishnamachariar,

S. 15784

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 508

General and Revenue Secretariat

(May)

, *the* 191 .

Section

File No. 146-4

Serial No 2

No.

Date of issue 7-6-22

Signed by

To

The Dy: Comr, Mysore Distt.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 26 of 21-22 May & 11-8-21 stating that no arrears of vritti amount for the period from 1886 to 1894 are due to Sahasranamada Lakshmi-narasimhachar from Nowl Krishna-dors charities, I am directed to invite your attention to the list of arrears due to the vrittidars from 1886-7 to 1917-18 prepared and

over.

submitted by you and referred to in para 2 of your letter under reply, and to enquire under what authority payments were made to the vrittidars for the period subsequent to the date of resumption, viz., 1894.

2. The ver: papers & the list are returned for reference and retransmission

Dhrangdra
U.J. 1/8/14
Secretary
Revenue Deptt.

8/9
1.8.14

1/9/14

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by



793
ప 22
నెల్లూరు
146-21

21

ఫిర్యాదుదారుడు సాక్షి

ఫిర్యాదుదారుడు సాక్షి

ఫిర్యాదుదారుడు సాక్షి

ఫిర్యాదుదారుడు సాక్షి

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಡುವುದು ಸಭೆಯ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿಡುವುದು ಸಭೆಯ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

7- 3- 1922

ప్రాచీన్యాంధ్ర వైశ్యులు శాసనములు వారి వంశచరిత్ర

ప్రకరణం

ప్రకరణంలో వంశక్రమము వారి వంశచరిత్ర గురించి వివరించబడినది. ఈ వంశక్రమము ప్రాచీనమైన అనేక శాసనములలో ఉన్నది. ఈ వంశక్రమము ఆధారంగా వారి వంశచరిత్ర వ్రాయబడినది.

ప్రాచీన్యాంధ్ర వైశ్యులు శాసనములలో వారి వంశచరిత్ర వ్రాయబడినది. వారి వంశచరిత్ర వ్రాయబడినది. వారి వంశచరిత్ర వ్రాయబడినది.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 3793/1x

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mug)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue

Signed by

Section

File No. 14 6 – 2

Serial No. 9

No.

To

[illegible]

over.

Comptt.

By Order

Secretary 8/7/22

Hy Mny Deptt

7.7.22

697

Mung 146-24-9

Copy forwarded to Sumati Anantachar residing in Sitaphali Agrahar, Chamaraj Pet, Bangalore, with reference to his petn registered No. 1603 Dy 26/6/1922.

19.7.22

By order

Secretary

Mny Deptt

7.7.22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Post To

The Secretary to Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.
Date of Issue 1.10.21
Petn. Regn. No 574

1359

Respected Sir,

With reference to the Muzrai Superintendent's endorsement No. 3197 Muz. 606-15-13 dated 19th March 1921 informing me that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has been requested to prepare a bill for my amount for 6 years from 1886 to 1894, I beg to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has favoured me with an endorsement to the effect that the amount due to me for those years has been already paid to me in the shape of advance and hence no more amount is due to me. The question of payment for the remaining period i.e., from 1894 to date is still pending and is unsettled. It seem to have been with-held in my case also because other claimants of the Vritti have not cared to apply or satisfy the conditions. In this connection, I beg to bring to your kind notice that I have strictly complied with the Government Order, built house in the approved manner and am living in it at Seringapatam. Whatever the position of others I am entitled to my share of the cha according to the rules. To make me wait or loose because others have not cared to apply for the shares would be a great hard-ship for me. am a very old man and my life is uncertain. I have two children, the elder of whom is ill educated and has to entirely depend on this and hav to bring up the younger boy also.

I, therefore, humbly request that Government may be graciously pleased to take a more liberal view of the question and treat my c separately and order payment of the whole amount due to me for the last 6 years.

I further beg to submit that I am only asking Government to dole out to me what a charitable man (Raval Krishna Das) has in the granted for a few poor people like myself.

Awaiting the favour of an early disposal of the above

I beg to remain
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

(signed in Kannada)
Sahasranamada Lakshmi N
Vrittidar of Raval Krishn
Seringapatam.

Seringapatam,
Dated the 22nd September 1921.

Copy of the foregoing is respectfully submitted

Sahib of M y s o r e, Bangalore, for kind information and favo
orders in settlement of my claims pending disposal in the Mu
Department for no good reason since the time that Naval Krishna
charity was taken up to the charge of Government.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
(Sahasranamada Lakshmi Narasimhacha
Vrittidar of Naval Krishna Das Charit
Seringapatam.
By 22-9-1921

Dewan's order:-

How does the
matter stand?

Bo.
28.
29/9/21.

Mr2: Seey)

1237

21 22

The Secretary to Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

REV. DEPTT. 5 SEP 1921

1146-14
8&3

Respected Sir,

With reference to the Muzrai Superintendent's endorsement No. 3197 dated 12th March 1921 informing me that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has been requested to prepare a bill for my Vritti amount for 6 years from 1888 to 1894, I beg to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has favoured me with an endorsement to the effect that the amount due to me for those years has been already paid to me in the shape of advance and hence no more amount is due to me. The question of payment for the remaining period i. e., from 1894 to date is still pending and is unsettled. It seems to have been with-held in my case also because other claimants of the Vritti have not cared to apply or satisfy the conditions. In this connection, I beg to bring to your kind notice that I have strictly complied with the Government Order, built a house in the approved manner and am living in it at Seringapatam. Whatever the position of others I am entitled to my share of the charity according to the rules. To make me wait or loose because others have not cared to apply for the shares would be a great hard-ship for me. I am a very old man and my life is uncertain. I have two children, the elder of whom is ill educated and has to entirely depend on this and has to bring up the younger boy also.

I, therefore, humbly request that Government may be graciously pleased to take a more liberal view of the question and treat my case separately and order payment of the whole amount due to me for the last 6 years.

I further beg to submit that I am only asking Government to dole out to me what charitable man (Gopal Krishna Das) has for the right granted for a few poor people like myself.

Awaiting the favour of an early disposal of the question,

I beg to remain
Madam,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sahasram Gopala Krishna Das)
Vrittidar of Lakshmi Narasimha
Seringapatam.

Seringapatam,
Dated the 22nd September 1921.

1 3
21-22
[illegible]

[illegible] 26/10/21

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 14-15 [illegible]

[illegible] 14-15 [illegible]

[illegible] 1894 [illegible]

30 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

26/10/1921